

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
10

11 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,  
12 a Delaware corporation,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 WINECUP GAMBLE, INC., a Nevada  
corporation,

16 Defendant.  
17

Case No. 3:17-cv-00477-LRH-CLB

**ORDER GRANTING UNION PACIFIC  
RAILROAD COMPANY’S UNOPPOSED  
MOTION FOR (1) EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO FILE A REPLY IN SUPPORT  
OF ITS SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION  
FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF  
LAW, OR, ALTERNATIVELY, A NEW  
TRIAL, AND (2) PERMISSION TO FILE  
AN OVERLENGTH REPLY**

18 Plaintiff Union Pacific Railroad Company (“Union Pacific”) hereby requests: (1) an  
19 extension of time, to and including January 20, 2023, to file a Reply in support of its Supplemental  
20 Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law, or, Alternatively, a New Trial (ECF No. 303) (“the  
21 Reply”); and (2) an order permitting the Reply to exceed the 12 pages allotted under the local rules  
22 by 4 additional pages (bringing the total to 16 pages). In support of this Motion, Union Pacific  
23 states as follows:

- 24 1. Union Pacific’s Reply is currently due on January 13, 2023.
- 25 2. Over the holiday break, Union Pacific’s counsel, Peter O’Neill, learned that his  
26 wife has to undergo a medical procedure in Denver, Colorado on January 12, 2023. The procedure  
27 will require Mr. O’Neill to travel to/from Denver the week of January 9–13, and will require him  
28 to be away from his computer for at least half of that week.

